## United States District Court Middle District of Florida Jacksonville Division

## BARBARA J. HEJDUK AND CHARLES A. HEJDUK,

Plaintiffs,

 $\mathbf{v}$ .

No. 3:20-cv-61-J-34PDB

ETHICON, INC., AND JOHNSON & JOHNSON,

Defendants.

## Order

The defendants move to seal three exhibits to two motions to exclude expert opinions because the exhibits include unredacted personal information. Doc. 94.

The defendants explain the exhibits—Docs. 88-1, 88-3, and 89-1—are curricula vitae for experts that include the experts' birthdates. Doc. 94 at 2. The defendants explain they have now filed redacted versions of the exhibits omitting the birthdates. Doc. 94 at 2; see Docs. 91–91-2, Docs. 92–92-1. The clerk removed the original, unredacted exhibits from the docket after the defendants filed the redacted versions. See docket notations for Docs. 88 and 89.

Because the original exhibits are no longer on the docket, the Court denies

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In an electronic filing that includes a birthdate or the name of an individual known to be a minor, the filing may include only the year of the individual's birth and the minor's initials. Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2(a)(2)–(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The defendants explain one of the exhibits, Doc. 89-1, also names the expert's children and, without knowing their birthdates, the defendants redacted the names in an abundance of caution. Doc. 94 at 2 n.1; *see* Doc. 92-1 (redacted version).

the motion to seal them, Doc. 94, as unnecessary.

Ordered in Jacksonville, Florida, on September 18, 2020.

Patricia D. Barksdale United States Magistrate Judge

c: Counsel of Record